

Eleventh Steering Committee 19-21 May 2025

SOFF Readiness Funding Requests

INF 11.3

Systematic Observations Financing Facility

Weather and climate data for resilience





Purpose of this Document

This document contains SOFF Readiness funding requests of Cook Islands, Niue and Guinea. The SOFF Readiness phase provides technical assistance for the development of the Global Basic Observing Network (GBON) National Gap Analysis, GBON National Contribution Plan, and the Country Hydromet Diagnostics.

Table of contents

1.	Funding requests overview	3
	Process	
3.	SOFF Programming criteria	3
4.	Risks	7



SOFF Readiness Funding Requests

1. Funding requests overview

The eighth Steering Committee meeting in June 2024 programmed four additional countries for SOFF support, namely Sierra Leone, Cook Islands, Niue and Guinea through <u>Decision 8.7</u>. The Readiness funding request for Sierra Leone was submitted and approved by the 10th Steering Committee through <u>Decision 10.3</u>.

The remaining three countries have submitted their Readiness funding requests for consideration at the 11th Steering Committee meeting. Table 1 presents an overview of these requests. Learning from the Readiness phase implementation of the previously approved countries, a delivery timeframe of 9-12 months is requested to allow sufficient time for the preparation of the three Readiness outputs¹.

Table 1: List of SOFF Readiness phase funding requests for 11th Steering Committee decision.

No	Country	Peer Advisor	Implementing Entity	Duration (months)	Budget (USD)
RPFR 062	Cook Islands	New Zealand	UNEP	9	75,415
RPFR 063	Niue	New Zealand	UNEP	9	60,645
RPFR 064	Guinea	Germany	UNDP	12	143,000
				Subtotal	279,060
				TOTAL ²	298,594.2

2. Process

Funding requests were prepared in accordance with the <u>SOFF Operational Manual</u>. The SOFF Secretariat facilitated the matching of SOFF countries with peer advisors and Implementing Entities based on their expressed interests and preferences. Peer advisors supported countries in developing their Readiness funding requests in collaboration with prospective Implementing Entities.

3. SOFF Programming criteria

An overview of the three countries against the SOFF programming criteria (SOFF Operational Manual) is presented in Table 2 below.

¹ GBON National Gap Analysis; GBON National Contribution Plan; Country Hydromet Diagnostics

² This includes USD 19,534.2 as the 7% fee for WMO for administering the pass-through mechanism.

Table 2. Assessment of the three countries against the SOFF programming criteria.

SOFF Programming Cook Islands		Niue	Guinea	
Closing most significant data gaps		See Table 3		
Target "easy fixes"	Support will be provided for updating stations metadata in OSCAR/Surface, increasing data sharing frequency to hourly for selected AWS, as well as nominating and training the National Focal Points for OSCAR/Surface, WDQMS, and WIGOS. In-country data transfer systems will also be enhanced for WIS 2.0 connectivity.	Feasibility of upper air station at Hanan Airport will be assessed, stations metadata in OSCAR/Surface will be updated, and the National Focal Points for OSCAR/Surface, WDQMS, and WIGOS will be nominated and trained. In-country data transfer systems will also be enhanced for WIS 2.0 connectivity.	Existing operational stations not meeting GBON standards will be assessed for easy fix improvements, along with a review of marine stations. Stations metadata in OSCAR/Surface will be registered and updated, and National Focal Points for key meteorological systems will be nominated.	
Maximize delivery capacity	New Zealand is supporting Cooks Islands to upgrade AWS and restore upper air station through GCF FP147 UNEP CIS-Pac5 project. Furthermore, it assessed the NMHS Hydromet capabilities as part of a regional gap assessment funded by its Ministry of Foreign Affairs. UNEP is supporting the country through GCF FP147 UNEP CIS-Pac5 project, which funds infrastructure for land, air, and	its Ministry of Foreign Affairs. UNEP is supporting the country through the GCF FP147 CIS-Pac5 project in delivering infrastructure	DWD will draw on its experience from its role as an active Peer Advisor for Madagascar and Sierra Leone (partnering with Geosphere Austria) while UNDP holds a strategic position in Guinea and is ideally positioned to facilitate critical interactions during the preparation and implementation SOFF.	



	marine observations, along with	
	technical staff salaries and capacity	
	development.	
	Observational data from the AWS network will be integrated into the	Key projects include UNDP-
	respective countries' CIMS climate database (CliDE) to support Early Wa	rning supported efforts to enhance climate
	Systems.	resilience in Forested Guinea and
	SOFF will deliver to elements of the Weather Ready Pacific Decadal initia	ative advancement of National Adaptation
	coordinated by SPREP, and with oversight by the Pacific Meteorological	Plans (NAP-GSP). Additionally, the
	Council (PMC), ensuring the SOFF investment activities are not duplicate	ed by Green Climate Fund (GCF) projects
	other initiatives.	FP219 and FP092 with AfDB will be
	Additionally, the UNEP is supporting investment activities to strengther	the assessed to avoid overlaps and
	multi-hazard warning system and is currently providing budget support	identify synergies. The Adaptation
Create leverage	operation to strengthen the country's disaster risk management system	ns and Fund project in the Senegal River
	policies in both countries.	Basin aims to build resilience
		through multi-hazard early warning
		Accelerated Support Window and the
		upcoming CLIMAGUI project (2024)
		will strengthen Guinea's
		meteorological services through
		legal, administrative, and technical
		support.
	The peer advisor will collaborate with regional SOFF peer advisors to	Key partners include WMO's GISC
Regional and sub-	coordinate SOFF implementation under PMC and Weather Ready Pacifi	c Casablanca for WIS2
regional gains	guidance. Opportunities may arise to leverage the WMO Regional Train	-
	Centre (RTC), Regional Instrument Centre (RIC), and Regional WIGOS Ce	entre forecasting, and ECOWAS for



	(RWC) in Fiji to support both countries' o	bserving programs. Additionally, the	regional climate resilience. Research
	advisor will explore the country's partici	and policy support come from	
	community of practice through the RIC/F	WASCAL, the African Union's ACPC,	
		and the AfDB's ClimDev-Africa	
			initiative. Collaboration with the
			Mano River Union, the Gulf of
			Guinea Regional Network, and WMO
			regional centers (e.g., Casablanca,
			Abuja) will further enhance climate
			services. Additionally, the CCR-AOS-
			AGRHYMET center will support
			climate monitoring and food security
			efforts in West Africa.
Country balance	Cook Islands is a Small Island	Niue is a Small Island Developing	Guinea is a Least Developed Country
Country balance	Developing State in the Pacific.	State in the Pacific.	in Africa.

Table 3: Overview of the WMO GBON Global Gap Analysis (June 2023) in the three countries.

Country	Surface stations				Upper a	air stations		
Country	Target	Reporting	Gap New	Gap improve	Target	Reporting	Gap New	Gap improve
Cook Islands	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
Niue	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Guinea	7	0	0	7	1	0	0	1

4. Risks

Table 4 below provides an overview of the key risks identified by peer advisors in collaboration with the country, as outlined in the Risk Management Framework section of the funding requests. To mitigate those related to political instability and other external factors—such as natural hazards that could disrupt the programme's objectives and delivery—strong alliances with local stakeholders, frequent and effective communication, and continuous monitoring are considered essential. To address institutional and programmatic risks, including limited participation, low capacity of the NMHS, and insufficient country ownership, a series of workshops and targeted capacity-building activities are proposed.

Table 4: Risks identified in the funding requests.

Risk category		Cook Islands	Niue	Guinea
Contextual risks	Health risks	Unlikely	Unlikely	
Risks related to conflicts, safety and political insecurity	Natural hazards	Possible	Possible	Likely
jeopardizing the delivery of the	Political instability, economic crises			Likely
Institutional risks Risks related to the beneficiary country's institutions participation in the Readiness phase activities		Unlikely	Possible	Possible
Programmatic risks Risks related to country ownership of the Readiness phase outputs		Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely